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Abstract—The changes in the curriculum of engineering education have been an on-going need to be addressed and practiced by the universities to consistently generate well groomed learners suitable for industry. The Outcome Based Education (OBE) is an education system that emphasis on outcomes measurement rather than inputs of curriculum covered. Outcomes may include a range of knowledge, skills and attitudes. The objective of this study is to present a novel approach for the outcome-based assessment of engineering education for Information Technology (IT) department. A case study of an IT department was discussed to present a novel approach. IT department of the case organization was exposed to the OBE and evaluated for continuous quality improvement with the evaluation of the outcomes that have been attained by the students. Various measurement methods are used to gauge the level of intended outcomes. This forms the basis of Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) in applying OBE. The changes made in the curriculum are to reflect the needs of the stakeholders (students, alumni and employers) and industry demands for competent engineers. Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) has encouraged and monitored development of IT programme both to develop a skill and assess individual students and to provide evidence for assessing standards in programs of study[2]. This paper has introduced a case study for implementation of OBE for continuous quality improvement with evaluation of assessment tools for undergraduate Information Technology programme in RIT engineering education organization.

II. FRAMEWORK FOR OBE

OBE is an education system designed based on predefined objectives and learning outcomes. It has encouraged and monitored development of different courses both to develop a skill and assess individual students and to provide evidence for assessing standards in programs of study. However the initial challenge is to classify the skills and to link the components of the OBE setup to formulate the various assessment strategies. OBE focuses on learning by:

a. Defining programme educational objectives (PEOs).
   The PEO are the skills that the graduate should have after four years completing the a programme.

b. Define programme outcomes (PO).
   PO are desirable graduate attributes at the time of graduation.

c. Define course learning objectives (CLOs).
   i.e. at the end of the course the student should be able to satisfy these objectives and they are mapped with the program outcomes (PO). This mapping is known as CLO-PO matrix[4].

d. Assessment for the achievement of programme educational objectives.

PEOs are the broad statements that describe the career and professional accomplishments that the program is preparing the graduates to achieve. The PEOs are established in consultation with the stake holders of the department like students, faculty, parents, alumni, industry, management etc.

The job profile of IT engineer is also considered.
PEO_1: Graduate shall demonstrate knowledge of Information Technology theory and practices to analyze, formulate, solve social and engineering problems.

PEO_2: Graduate shall pursue successful career in IT industries as a software engineer, database administrator, network administrator, IT Manager and system analyst.

PEO_3: Graduate shall exhibit professional skills comprising ethical attitude, effective communication, leadership and teamwork.

The following process is implemented while establishing the PEOs (see Fig. 1):

1. The vision and mission of the department is taken as basis to interact with various stakeholders of the department.
2. Feedback from employers is taken which describes what the expectations from the graduates are.
3. Entry survey of the newly admitted students is taken which describes why the student has taken admission to the program and what is his career aim.
4. Feedbacks from parents and alumni are also taken into consideration.
5. Brain storming sessions are held in presence of all faculty members and Board of Studies.
6. The department advisory board formulates the accepted views based on which PEOs are established.

All Students in the program are expected to acquire these outcomes at the end of their four year of studies through various courses offered in the bachelor degree in Information Technology.

Program outcomes are established through the consultation process with stakeholders keeping the graduate attributes defined by NBA as basis. Department vision, mission and program educational objectives are also kept in view.

The PO for Information Technology programme is outlined in Table I. The list of PO emphasizes the expectations for students of bachelor of Information Technology upon their graduation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. PROGRAM OUTCOMES FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PO1: a. Demonstrate knowledge of mathematics, science &amp; engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO2: b. Identify, analyze, and formulate problems using Information Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO3: c. Analyze and interpret data for modeling, designing and developing software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO4: d. Design and develop software systems of varying complexity to meet the desired needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO5: e. Use modern tools to evaluate and implement computer-based systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO6: f. Communicate information efficiently, reliably &amp; securely using computer networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO7: g. Succeed in competitive examinations like GATE, GRE and various professional certifications in Information Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO8: h. Exhibit professional skills and ethical responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO9: i. Communicate effectively in both verbal &amp; written form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO10: j. Work effectively in teams and have enduring learning skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO11: k. Analyze the impact of engineering solutions on individuals, society and environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO12: l. Apply the knowledge of engineering and management principles and to one’s own work, as a member and leader in a team, in managing projects and in ethical responsibilities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following process is implemented while establishing the PEOs (see Fig. 1):

1. The vision and mission of the department is taken as basis to interact with various stakeholders of the department.
2. Feedback from employers is taken which describes what the expectations from the graduates are.
3. Entry survey of the newly admitted students is taken which describes why the student has taken admission to the program and what is his career aim.
4. Feedbacks from parents and alumni are also taken into consideration.
5. Brain storming sessions are held in presence of all faculty members and Board of Studies.
6. The department advisory board formulates the accepted views based on which PEOs are established.
Each course has defined course learning outcomes that are mapped to the program outcomes and a set of performance criteria that are used to provide quantitative measurement of how well course outcomes are achieved. For example, the course outcome for Java programming course is outlined below (Table II).

### Table II: Course Learning Objectives for Java Programming Course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLO</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLO1</td>
<td>Understand the concept of OOP and the principles of inheritance, polymorphism, encapsulation and method overloading.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO2</td>
<td>Implement programs using string functions, exception handling concepts and Java standard class library.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO3</td>
<td>Implement JAVA applets, package and use IDE’s to develop JAVA applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO4</td>
<td>Develop GUI-based applications by using AWT &amp; Swing packages and implement database connectivity and network programming in Java.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO5</td>
<td>Analyze the difference between Java and other programming languages.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Implementation

Modes of delivery of courses help in attainment of the POS. The following are the various modes of content delivery of courses:

- Lecture interspersed with discussion.
- Tutorials
- Demonstrations of modern tools
- Quiz
- Industrial Visits.
- Assignments/Group Assignments
- Presentations
- Group Discussions
- Mini Projects/Projects.
- E-learning resources like NPTEL lectures, open course wares etc.

Assessment tools used to assess the impact of delivery of course/course content contribute towards the attainment of course outcomes/programme outcomes. The generalized mapping of the course delivery methods to the program outcomes is shown in Table III below. The program outcomes are achieved through curriculum that offers a number of mandatory courses as well as elective courses. Each course has defined course outcomes that are mapped to the program outcomes and a set of performance criteria that are used to provide quantitative measurement of how well course outcomes are achieved.

### Table III: Mapping for Course Delivery Methods to Program Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POS</th>
<th>Lecture interspersed with discussion</th>
<th>Tutorials</th>
<th>Demonstrations of modern tools</th>
<th>Quizzes</th>
<th>Industrial Visits</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
<th>Presentations</th>
<th>Group Discussions</th>
<th>Mini Projects</th>
<th>E-learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLO</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The linkage among program outcomes and course outcomes is shown in the table below. The course outcomes are thus directly and quantitatively assessed, and are tied to the program outcomes as shown in the course syllabi [5]. Therefore if the course outcomes are met, the program outcomes are met.

### Table IV: CLO-PO Mapping for Java Programming Course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLO</th>
<th>PO1</th>
<th>PO2</th>
<th>PO4</th>
<th>PO7</th>
<th>PO8</th>
<th>PO9</th>
<th>PO10</th>
<th>PO11</th>
<th>PO12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLO1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Java programming course, it can be observed that PO1, PO2, PO4, PO7 are strongly and PO8, PO9, PO11, PO12 are weak achieved by students.
IV. ASSESSMENT STRATEGIES

To ensure the quality of the outcome based Information Technology, faculty need to provide assessment tools to measure outcomes of each undergraduate engineering course[6]. Frequency of assessment process is given below.

Tools of PO assessment:

> Direct assessment
  1. Exams
  2. Assignments
  3. Projects
  4. Tutorials
  5. Lab work/Programming
  6. Project Exhibition
  7. Presentations

- A performance target is set for each outcome.
- In semester Evaluation of the course, assignments and tutorials are planned and conducted.
- At the end of semester, evaluation data is analyzed to check attainment of PO's.
- Quality of the question papers is critically checked by departmental advisory board to ensure attainment of CLO’s, PO’s.
- Seminar and project content is monitored and upgraded continuously.
- Content of lab work, tutorials and assignments is designed to meet set PO's.
- Head of the department analyzes each outcome and defined set of measurable attributes for each outcome by taking consent of Department Advisory Board.

> Indirect Assessment
  - Alumni survey
  - Employer survey
  - Course end survey
  - Technical competitions

Feedback from Alumni and Employers is taken by the department through Training & Placement and Alumni cell. The suggestions given are incorporated in curriculum during BOS meeting.

At the end of course feedback is taken from students about course content and discussed in BOS meeting. Outcomes are incorporated in curriculum.

Frequency of assessment process:

> Direct assessment
  1. Exams (Twice a semester)
  2. Assignments, tutorials and Practical’s (Continuous assessment)
  3. Projects (Quarterly)
  4. Presentations (Four presentations per year)

> Indirect Assessment
  - Alumni survey
  - Employer survey
  - Course end survey
  - Technical competitions

V. RESULT

Summarization of the evaluation processes and analysis illustrating the extent to which each of the programme outcomes are attained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PO</th>
<th>Assessment Method</th>
<th>Direct Assessment</th>
<th>Indirect Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PO1</td>
<td>Tender/End Survey</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO2</td>
<td>Tender/End Survey</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO3</td>
<td>Tender/End Survey</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO4</td>
<td>Tender/End Survey</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO5</td>
<td>Tender/End Survey</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO6</td>
<td>Tender/End Survey</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO7</td>
<td>Rubrics/Workshop, Co-Curricular &amp; Extra Curricular</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO8</td>
<td>Rubrics/Workshop, Co-Curricular &amp; Extra Curricular</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO9</td>
<td>Rubrics/Workshop, Co-Curricular &amp; Extra Curricular</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO10</td>
<td>Rubrics/Workshop, Co-Curricular &amp; Extra Curricular</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO11</td>
<td>Rubrics/Workshop, Co-Curricular &amp; Extra Curricular</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO12</td>
<td>Rubrics/Workshop, Co-Curricular &amp; Extra Curricular</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of all the above mentioned assessment tools for POs, the following tools are used for assessment of POs for the Information Technology programme. Since only one class is considered for assessment, the attainment of POs is partial.

1. Evaluation of ISE, MES and MSE answer papers
2. Course End Survey
3. Rubrics for Co-Curricular Activities
4. Rubrics for Extra Curricular Activities
5. Rubrics for Training Programs/Workshops
6. Rubrics for Projects and Seminar
7. Alumni survey
8. Student Feedback

Practical/Oral Examination Survey from external Examiners. As seen from the above table, All PO’s are assessed by both direct as well as indirect tools. Hence for PO1, PO2, PO3, PO4, PO5, PO6, PO9 having more weightage to direct tool and the PO7, PO8, PO10 having more weightage indirect tool. Rest of all the POs are assessed through equally direct and indirect tools. Hence average of all those assessments is considered. The summary of the assessment of PO by direct and indirect methods is given in the Fig 3.

Performance evaluation is done by measuring the program outcomes mapping to CLO through different activities in Information Technology. The expected level of attainment for each of the program outcomes is calculated and summaries of the results of the evaluation processes and an analysis illustrating the extent to which each of the programme outcomes are attained; The percentage of attainment program outcome.

Fig 3. Attainment for Information Technology

Towards improvement of information Technology Programme, feedback from alumnae and employers is taken by the department through training & placement and alumni cell. The suggestions given are incorporated in curriculum during BOS meeting. At the end of course feedback is taken from students about course content and discussed in BOS meeting. Outcomes are incorporated in curriculum. for example, i) A multidisciplinary approach which involves projects appropriately from multiple discipline to redefine problems outside of normal boundaries and reach solutions based on a new understanding of complex situations. ii) subject like professional skill development, open elective at B.Tech final year level. Thus, data give us confidence that the development of assessment tool for the outcome based engineering courses is working in the positive direction.

V. CONCLUSION

The OBE implementation of CO and PO attainment has been explored for IT programme in RIT engineering organization, that were offered to all undergraduates students. This novel approach has been implemented in all the programmes of RIT for continuous quality improvement of engineering education. The implementation of OBE in engineering education is a cyclic continuously improving model with the assessment of the outcomes. It is not the end but just the means to achieve the desired outcomes. Effective implementation of OBE gives opportunity for new ideas and challenges to develop an education model which resulted in improved learning outcomes. The data from RIT gives confidence that the development of assessment tool for the outcomes based engineering courses is working in the positive direction.
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